



**REGULAR MEETING OF THE RCWD BOARD OF MANAGERS**  
Wednesday, June 24, 2026

**Mounds View City Hall Council Chambers**  
**2401 County Road 10, Mounds View, Minnesota**  
**and**  
**Meeting also conducted by alternative means**  
**(teleconference or video-teleconference) from remote locations**

**Minutes**

- 1
- 2 **CALL TO ORDER**
- 3 President Michael Bradley called the meeting to order, a quorum being present, at 9:00 a.m.
- 4
- 5 **ROLL CALL**
- 6 Present: President Michael Bradley, 1st Vice-Pres. John Waller, 2nd Vice-Pres. Jess
- 7 Robertson, Treasurer Marcie Weinandt, Secretary Janet Hegland
- 8 Absent: None
- 9 Staff Present: Administrator Nick Tomczik, Regulatory Manager Patrick Hughes, Drainage &
- 10 Facilities Manager Tom Schmidt, Office Manager Theresa Stasica
- 11 Consultants: District Engineer Chris Otterness from Houston Engineering, Inc. (HEI) & District
- 12 Attorney John Kolb-Rinke Noonan
- 13 Visitors: Linda Broussard Vickers, David Vickers, Christine Cook, Justin Olson
- 14 Visitors monitoring via Zoom: Michael Miller, Pauline Alfors
- 15
- 16 **OPEN MIC/PUBLIC COMMENT**
- 17 No public comments were made.
- 18
- 19 **SETTING OF THE AGENDA**
- 20 ***Motion by Manager Robertson, seconded by Manager Weinandt, to approve the agenda as***
- 21 ***presented. Motion carried 5-0.***
- 22

23 **READING OF THE MINUTES AND THEIR APPROVAL**

24 **Minutes of June 8, 2026, Workshop and June 10, 2026, Board of Managers Regular Meeting.**  
25 ***Motion by Manager Hegland, seconded by Manager Robertson, to approve the June 8, 2026,***  
26 ***Workshop and June 10, 2026, Board of Managers Regular Meeting minutes, as presented.***  
27 ***Motion carried 5-0.***  
28

29 **CONSENT AGENDA**

30 The following items will be acted upon without discussion in accordance with the staff recommendation  
31 and associated documentation unless a Manager or another interested person requests an opportunity  
32 for discussion:

33 **Table of Contents-Permit Applications Requiring Board Action**

34 <b>No.</b>	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Plan Type</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>
35 26-001	James R. & Kathleen M. 36 Nelson 37 Rehbein Properties	Lino Lakes	Final Site Drainage Plan Land Development Wetland Alteration 38 Floodplain Alteration	Amended CAPROC 10 items
39 26-043	Apex Blaine, LLC 40 Centre Pointe LLC	Roseville	Final Site Drainage Plan	CAPROC 9 items
41 26-045	Terminal Properties LLC/ 42 Pitt Ohio	Centerville	Final Site Drainage Plan	CAPROC 3 items
43 26-049	Department of 44 Administration	Roseville	Final Site Drainage Plan	CAPROC 6 items

45 Regulatory Manager Hughes reminded the Board that the application for Permit No. 26-001 came  
46 before the Board at their February 25, 2026, Board meeting, where it was conditionally approved.  
47 He explained that it was back before the Board for an additional parcel that was added to the  
48 development.

49 President Bradley asked for the status of the project and what they were specifically asking for  
50 with this application.

51 Regulatory Manager Hughes explained that the project had not started yet and stated that on  
52 the northeast corner of the development, there was additional land being added for housing and  
53 a turn lane.

54 President Bradley asked if construction had begun and welcomed members of the audience to  
55 address the Board.

56 Linda Broussard Vickers and David Vickers, 6756 Centerville Road, explained that they live on the  
57 farm that is the western border of the project. She explained that their concern was in the  
58 southwest portion of the project, where there was a wetland on the project parcel in addition to  
59 their property. She noted that the applicant planned to put a large holding basin right next to  
60 that. She stated that the packet says that the water will flow to these areas and then overflow

61 into a private ditch, and explained that it was their private ditch on their land. She explained  
62 that their concern with the proposed design was that they would get a lot of erosion, and briefly  
63 reviewed some of their concerns with the proposed design elevation. She noted that they had  
64 just recently learned of these plans and met yesterday with the developer and their engineer to  
65 share their concerns, but also wanted to come before the Board to explain that they still had  
66 concerns. She noted that she understood that the District and the applicant had engineering,  
67 but they have the knowledge and experience of the land. She explained that they are not  
68 opposed to the project itself, because they knew it was coming, but were concerned about the  
69 erosion of the peat ground in the area, which will impact their private ditch, and the potential  
70 costs they would have to pay because of it.

71 Manager Waller stated that he and former Manager Wagamon had been in the area looking at a  
72 beaver dam. He noted that the individual who rents the farmland from the Vickers saw them  
73 and asked them to look at his tractor that was stuck in the field. He explained that he told them  
74 that since the adjacent development went in, the water flow had changed, and he got stuck in  
75 the field. He stated that he understood the concerns shared by the Vickers about the effect of  
76 this project on their land.

77 Manager Robertson noted that the Board typically addresses the Consent Agenda as a whole and  
78 that there had not been a motion on the floor for ongoing discussion. She asked if President  
79 Bradley had just opened this specific item for comments.

80 ***Motion by Manager Waller, seconded by Manager Bradley, to pull Permit No. 26-001 from the***  
81 ***Consent Agenda for discussion. Motion carried 5-0.***

82 Manager Robertson asked if there was an alternative to mitigating what was more than likely  
83 going to be a flooding issue. She stated that the Vickers had stated that they were not opposed  
84 to the development or the land use change, but were worried about water on their property as  
85 well as erosion. She asked what the District could do before it becomes a problem. She stated  
86 that she understood that the engineers had opinions, but the Vickers also had real-life, everyday  
87 experience, and both were okay. She asked if there was something the Board could include that  
88 would help address the long-standing issue, in addition to money having to be spent to clean the  
89 private ditch.

90 Linda Broussard Vickers stated that it has been their responsibility to maintain the ditch for the  
91 last 40 years.

92 Manager Robertson explained that she did not want to give a green light to a permit when they  
93 know there are issues and reiterated her question on whether there was a way it could be  
94 addressed now.

95 Regulatory Manager Hughes stated that his initial thought was 'no'. The project application does  
96 not have any work proposed on the Vickers' property and the design meets the rules. He  
97 explained that the rate of stormwater flowing to the west is reduced in the 2-year, 10-year, and  
98 100-year events from the existing condition. He noted that the District would inspect for erosion

99 during the development phase and work with the developer to correct any issues. The District is  
100 not a land-use authority and can only review the development against its rules.

101 Manager Waller shared a few ideas on how the Vickers' concerns could be addressed.

102 District Engineer Otterness noted that the area mentioned by Manager Waller was a wetland,  
103 and what he had suggested would be subject to the Wetland Conservation Act.

104 District Administrator Tomczik referenced page 21 of the packet that had a table near the top,  
105 which addressed the runoff rates and the expected reductions.

106 The Board and District staff discussed providing information to the inspector about the Vickers  
107 concerns, flowage that will go to the drainage ditch, drainage map specifics, location of the  
108 wetland, and the borrow pond, berm possibilities, and overflow plans.

109 President Bradley asked if the developer would like to address the Board.

110 Christine Cook, Twin Cities Land Development, introduced Justin Olson of Carlson Engineering and  
111 noted that they met with the Vickers yesterday. She explained that they had suggested that they  
112 meet out there as a group to inspect the ditch and document its condition, and continuing that  
113 throughout the construction and stabilization process to ensure that they do not cause any adverse  
114 impacts. She noted that their information has shown that they would not cause any additional  
115 flow to the area and would actually be reducing it. She explained that she had left Regulatory  
116 Manager Hughes a voicemail this morning suggesting that they could post some escrow to help  
117 give the Vickers peace of mind if there happened to be some adverse impacts.

118 Manager Robertson explained that she would be open to that idea, but wanted it to be  
119 memorialized. She stated that she understood that component may be outside the purview of  
120 the Board, but noted that she would not vote in favor of this unless she knew all parties were  
121 satisfied.

122 District Administrator Tomczik stated that the Board could include a conditional item within the  
123 permit where the District would be the holder of the proposed surety and could leave the other  
124 parties to define the terms of the surety.

125 The Board expressed concern about the District serving as the banker for this project.

126 District Attorney Kolb reviewed the concerns the Vickers had shared regarding erosion sediment  
127 that may be deposited in their ditch and the potential volume of water with the limited capacity of  
128 the existing ditches. He stated that the District can address the erosion and sediment concerns  
129 by assuring that all of the sediment and erosion control requirements are in place and maintained  
130 during the ongoing construction. He noted that they could also make sure that it was inspected  
131 and may be able to look at additional BMPs in the area. He stated that the District does not tell  
132 people how they can use their land, but instead tells people, if you are going to use your land a  
133 certain way, here is what you need to do to be in compliance with the District's performance  
134 standards. He noted that the developer could enter into an agreement with the landowner

135 related to maintenance of the ditch, but urged them to be clear on what conditions would require  
136 action. He stated that if the Board chose to add a condition, he felt enforcement would be  
137 questionable because it was really a private issue between the landowners.

138 President Bradley stated that based on that information, he didn't feel the Board needed to add a  
139 condition and could leave the two parties to continue to work things out amongst themselves.

140 Manager Robertson stated that she would like to add a condition that acknowledged that this was  
141 discussed and there would be an agreement outside the enforcement of the District between the  
142 two parties.

143 District Attorney Kolb suggested that the Board include a comment in the permit acknowledging  
144 the concerns expressed by the Vickers and the representations made today by the developer.

145 ***It was moved by Manager Waller and seconded by Manager Bradley, to direct staff to add to***  
146 ***the findings, under the description for CAPROC Permit No. 26-001, the additional language that***  
147 ***acknowledges the concerns that have been expressed by the landowners and the***  
148 ***representations that have been made by the developer today, and to approve Permit No. 26-***  
149 ***001, as outlined in the above Table of Contents in accordance with the RCWD Engineer's***  
150 ***Findings and Recommendations, dated June 16, 2026. Motion carried 5-0.***

151 ***It was moved by Manager Waller and seconded by Manager Hegland, to approve the consent***  
152 ***agenda Permit Numbers 26-043, 26-045, 26-049 as outlined in the above Table of Contents in***  
153 ***accordance with RCWD District Engineer's Findings and Recommendations, dated June 16,***  
154 ***2026. Motion carried 5-0.***  
155

## 156 **ITEMS REQUIRING BOARD ACTION**

157 **1. Anoka County Ditch 53-62, Branches 5 & 6 Repair Project Partial Pay Request #2**  
158 Drainage & Facilities Manager Schmidt gave an overview of the repair work done by Apex  
159 Drainage and explained that they have submitted a request for partial payment.

160 Manager Robertson stated that she travels this corridor quite frequently and felt that  
161 Apex Drainage had done a very good job on this project.

162 ***Motion by Manager Robertson, seconded by Manager Hegland, to approve Apex***  
163 ***Drainage, LLC's partial pay request as submitted and certified by the District Engineer,***  
164 ***and directs staff to issue payment of \$30,151.20. Motion carried 5-0.***

165 **2. Check Register Dated June 24, 2026, in the Amount of \$862,723.74 and June Interim**  
166 **Financial Statements Prepared by Redpath and Company**

167 ***Motion by Manager Weinandt, seconded by Manager Waller, to approve the check***  
168 ***register dated June 24, 2026, in the Amount of \$862,723.74, and the June Interim***  
169 ***Financial Statements prepared by Redpath and Company. Motion carried 5-0.***  
170

171 **ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION AND INFORMATION**

172 **1. Staff Reports**

173 Manager Weinandt stated that there were monthly meetings that occur regarding Jones  
174 Lake with all the local units of government that were involved in that project.

175 **2. July Calendar**

176 **3. Administrator Updates**

177 District Administrator Tomczik stated the Minnesota Plumbing Board met yesterday,  
178 which had drafted language that would prohibit stormwater reuse, which is a strong  
179 element of the District's watershed management plan. He explained that he attended  
180 the meeting and spoke in favor of continuing with stormwater reuse and suggested they  
181 collaborate with other agencies that currently regulate and give guidance on that. He  
182 stated that the Minnesota Plumbing Board paused making changes to Chapter 15. He  
183 noted that the District was working towards the District's website being ADA-compliant.  
184 He stated that he also had some 2x2 maps of the District if any of the Board was interested  
185 in having a copy. He gave a brief overview of the background and experience of the  
186 District's new Project Manager, Jen Oknich, who will begin working with the District on  
187 June 29, 2026.

188 **4. Manager Updates**

189 Manager Weinandt stated that she had attended the St. Paul Jazz Fest and spoke to  
190 representatives from the St. Paul Waterworks and learned about some of their pipelines,  
191 which she found very interesting.

192 Manager Robertson reminded the Board that she would not be able to attend the July  
193 22<sup>nd</sup> Board of Managers meeting.  
194

195 **ADJOURNMENT**

196 ***Motion by Manager Waller, seconded by Manager Robertson, to adjourn the meeting at 9:47***  
197 ***a.m. Motion carried 5-0.***

198