



REGULAR MEETING OF THE RCWD BOARD OF MANAGERS

Wednesday, August 13, 2025

**Mounds View City Hall Council Chambers
2401 County Road 10, Mounds View, Minnesota
and**

**Meeting also conducted by alternative means
(teleconference or video-teleconference) from remote locations**

Minutes

CALL TO ORDER

President Michael Bradley called the meeting to order, a quorum being present, at 9:00 a.m.

ROLL CALL

Present: President Michael Bradley, 1st Vice-Pres. John Waller, Treasurer, Marcie Weinandt, and Secretary Jess Robertson

Absent: 2nd Vice-Pres. Steve Wagamon-with prior notice

Staff Present: District Administrator Nick Tomczik, Regulatory Manager Patrick Hughes, Project Manager David Petry, Communications & Outreach Manager Kendra Sommerfeld, Technician Emmet Hurley (video-conference), Office Manager Theresa Stasica

Consultants: District Engineers Chris Otterness and Adam Nies from Houston Engineering, Inc. (HEI) and District Attorney John Kolb from Rinke Noonan

Visitors: Rachel Boehme & Edie Bollenbach-HEI; Pat Gray, Chris Stowe, Catherine Decker, Michelle U.

Visitors via video-conference: Angela Young, Benjamin Martinson, Jake

OPEN MIC/PUBLIC COMMENT

Chris Stowe, 426 Pine Street, referenced the notice of a public hearing later this evening regarding the sod fields and encouraged the RCWD to attend because he believed that ACD 10-22-32 issues should be addressed before any development was allowed to go through in this area. He shared a video of the work recently done to dredge the ditch near his property, he expressed his frustration that the water was not flowing because he still believed the pipes were

4325 Pheasant Ridge Drive NE #611 | Blaine, MN 55449 | T: 763-398-3070 | F: 763-398-3088 | www.ricecreek.org

**BOARD OF
MANAGERS**

Jess Robertson
Anoka County

Steven P. Wagamon
Anoka County

Michael J. Bradley
Ramsey County

Marcie Weinandt
Ramsey County

John J. Waller
Washington County

set too high. He suggested that the District lower a few of the pipes that were south of his property to address the issues, which he believed were causing the flooding on his property.

SETTING OF THE AGENDA

Motion by Manager Waller, seconded by Manager Weinandt, to approve the agenda as presented. Motion carried 4-0.

READING OF THE MINUTES AND THEIR APPROVAL

Minutes of the July 23, 2025, Board of Managers Regular Meeting and August 4, 2025, Workshop. Motion by Manager Robertson, seconded by Manager Weinandt, to approve the minutes as presented. Motion carried 4-0.

CONSENT AGENDA

The following items will be acted upon without discussion in accordance with the staff recommendation and associated documentation unless a Manager or another interested person requests an opportunity for discussion:

Table of Contents-Permit Applications Requiring Board Action

| No. | Applicant | Location | Plan Type | Recommendation |
|------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--|-----------------------|
| 25-051 | City of Blaine | Blaine | Final Site Drainage Plan Street & Utility Plan Public/Private Drainage System Wetland Alteration Floodplain Alteration | CAPROC 12 items |
| 25-054 | Northern Natural Gas | Scandia | Final Site Drainage Plan | CAPROC 8 items |
| 25-064 | Jeff Kempf | Blaine | Final Site Drainage Plan Land Development Public/Private Drainage System Wetland Alteration Floodplain Alteration | CAPROC 17 items |
| 25-068 | Northern Heights Lutheran Church | Arden Hills | Final Site Drainage Plan | CAPROC 8 items |

Regulatory Manager Hughes referenced Permit No. 24-054 and stated that the property owners to the east, Leon and Robin Kushlan, had reached out to staff regarding some concerns and questions they had about the project. He explained that he had reached out to them, outlining the District's process, but wanted that to be noted for the record.

It was moved by Manager Bradley, seconded by Manager Robertson, to approve the consent agenda as outlined in the above Table of Contents permits 25-051, 25-054, 25-064, 25-068 in accordance with RCWD District Engineer's Findings and Recommendations, dated August 4, and August 5, 2025.

Manager Robertson referenced a typo on page 33, which she had pointed out to Regulatory Manager Hughes, where it referenced 23-62, that she believed should have been 53-62.

Motion carried 4-0.

Water Quality Grant Program Cost Share Application

| No. | Applicant | Location | Project Type | Eligible Cost | Pollutant Reduction | Funding Recommendation |
|--------|-----------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|---|---|
| A25-01 | Kathleen Hegge | Fridley | Shoreline Restoration & Stabilization | \$21,115.00 | Volume: 2,055 cu-ft/yr TSS: 1,627.5 lbs/yr TP: 1.302 lbs/yr | 50% cost share of \$10,000, not to exceed 50%; or \$10,000, whichever cost is lower |
| A25-02 | Michelle Verzal | Circle Pines | Shoreline Restoration & Stabilization | \$8,706.75 | Volume: 1,288 cu-ft/yr TSS: 1,732.5 lbs/yr TP: 1.39 lbs/yr | 50% cost share of \$4,353.38 not to exceed 50%; or \$10,000 whichever cost is lower |
| R25-07 | Heidi Ferris | Shoreview | Raingarden & Slope Stabilization | \$9,863.00 | Volume: 2,433 cu-ft/yr TSS: 31.22 lbs/yr TP: 0.13 lbs/yr | 50% cost share of \$4,932.00 not to exceed 50%; or \$10,000 whichever cost is lower |
| R25-08 | LaNasa | Arden Hills | Raingarden | \$9,900.00 | Volume: 4,642 cu-ft/yr TSS: 64.90 lbs/yr TP: 0.25 lbs/yr | 50% cost share of \$4,950.00 not to exceed 50%; or \$10,000 whichever cost is lower |

Outreach and Grant Technician Nelson noted that in the original packet information, the 'Recommendation' column had incorrect information and has been corrected to indicate the 50% cost share amounts.

It was moved by Manager Weinandt, seconded by Manager Robertson, to approve the consent agenda as outlined in the above Table of Contents applications A25-01, A25-02, R25-07, R25-08 in accordance with RCWD Outreach and Grants Technician's Recommendations dated July 7, 2025. Motion carried 4-0.

PUBLIC HEARING: ANOKA COUNTY DITCH 53-62 BRANCHES 5 & 6

President Bradley asked for a motion to recess the regular meeting.

Motion by Manager Weinandt, seconded by Manager Robertson, to recess the Regular Board meeting of the Rice Creek Watershed District. Motion carried 4-0.

86 President Bradley opened the public hearing. Under consideration is the repair of Branches 5 and
87 6 of Anoka County Ditch 53-62. As part of the Board's consideration of the repair of the drainage
88 system, the Board will also establish the allocation of project costs between Water Management
89 District Charges and Ad Valorem Taxes and the nature and basis of Water Management District
90 Charges. The purpose of this hearing is to receive comments from the public on the District's
91 proposed plan to repair Branches 5 and 6 of Anoka County Ditch 53-62.

92 Drainage & Facilities Manager Schmidt asked District Drainage Engineer Nies to share his
93 presentation related to the District and its management of public drainage systems; the
94 engineer's review of this portion of public drainage system and the proposed repairs; and a
95 review of the proposed method of allocating repair costs, including Water Management District
96 Charges.

97 District Drainage Engineer Nies gave a presentation related to ACD 53-62, Branches 5 and 6
98 repair, the District's standard for decisions, current conditions, proposed repair plans, probable
99 construction costs, how the costs will be allocated, and the anticipated schedule of the work.
100 He concluded that the majority of Branch 5, Lateral 2, and Branch 6, south of Village Meadows,
101 was in disrepair and had a primary goal to restore the predictability of its conveyance. He gave
102 an overview of the recommended selective repair approach to restore predictable drainage. He
103 concluded that the repair and proposed WMD charges are consistent with past Board actions
104 and that they are necessary to meet current and future stormwater management needs.

105 President Bradley asked about Figure 4 on page 64 of the packet materials and asked if
106 Alternative 3 was what Houston Engineering was recommending, and asked for an explanation
107 of the green portions versus the yellow portions.

108 District Drainage Engineer Nies confirmed that they were recommending proceeding with
109 Alternative 3. He explained that the green portions are the areas that would not be excavated
110 to the full ACSIC depth.

111 District Engineer Otterness added that some of these areas will be excavated to a partial depth,
112 and other parts of the green areas that have already been cleaned out, for example, Branch 6,
113 Lateral 1, had already been cleaned out to the ACSIC grade, which meant that there was no repair
114 needed in that area.

115 President Bradley asked about the segment near 109th Avenue East.

116 District Engineer Otterness explained that 109th Avenue had also already been cleaned out and
117 maintained a few times within the last 15 years.

118 President Bradley stated that what he believed staff was communicating was that the District
119 was not proposing to repair things that had already been repaired. He asked why they were
120 not planning to repair Branch 5, Lateral 1.

121 District Engineer Otterness explained that this was the area that they were recommending be
122 abandoned because it no longer provided a functional purpose, nor would cleaning it out provide
123 any benefit to the lands adjacent to the ditch.

124 Manager Waller stated that there were a few regulatory agencies that were important here,
125 including the Federal government and the State. He asked the engineers to differentiate what
126 the costs would be if the District only had to do the Federal rule.

127 District Engineer Otterness reviewed the difference in the projected costs for Alternative 2 and
128 Alternative 3 and noted that those would be the costs incurred without considering any
129 regulations. He noted that the state laws add mitigation costs, which cannot be quantified at
130 this time. He stated that with the Federal Clean Water Act, maintenance of a drainage system
131 was exempt, so under Federal law, there would be no additional requirement other than a few
132 limitations of challenges to construction related to Federally listed species, such as the Northern
133 Long-Eared Bat.

134 Manager Waller explained that the point he was trying to get to was the added costs from the
135 State regulations. He noted that under Federal law, they could also do full clean-outs and not
136 just partial clean-outs, because they were exempt. He explained that for future presentations,
137 he would like to see this information included in the report related to the differentiation in costs
138 if they only had to follow the Federal law.

139 President Bradley then invited those in in-person attendance who wished to comment to please
140 state their name and address as they begin their comments.

141 Manager Weinandt reminded the Board that there were also 4 people online who may like to
142 speak.

143 President Bradley explained that online verbal participation was no longer allowed due to some
144 bad actors who had been involved in recent meetings.

145 District Administrator Tomczik explained that was correct and noted that the notice for the
146 meeting did indicate that online attendance was for monitoring the meeting and if they were
147 interested in addressing the Board, they should attend in person, or submit comments in writing.

148 Pat Gray, 11060 Okinawa Street, Blaine, explained that he backs up to Lateral 1. He noted that
149 there is an infiltration basin behind the lots and asked if that had anything to do with this or was
150 part of the District.

151 District Engineer Otterness stated that the infiltration basins near his property would be within
152 the District, but were not related to the public drainage system and would have been something
153 constructed as part of a development. He noted that the District would have permitted the
154 development.

155 Mr. Gray explained that he served on his HOA Board and asked if it would have been permitted
156 to the developer or the city. He noted that there is a bit of an argument about who owns the
157 infiltration basin and noted that the City was indicating that the HOA was responsible for it, rather
158 than the District or the city.

159 District Attorney Kolb stated that he would refer to the platting and association documents, as
160 well as specific zoning approvals that were given as part of the development. He stated that he
161 would assume that it would be part of the development approvals, the developer was required
162 to create the stormwater facilities, and the facilities were then put under the obligation of the

association to take care of, but noted that the actual documentation may say something different.

Regulatory Manager Hughes stated that he agreed with District Attorney Kolb that the maintenance obligation for the basins is typically recorded on the property title, but that information would be found in the documentation. He stated that he could look that up and share the information he finds with Mr. Gray.

Manager Robertson explained that in addition to serving on this Board, she was also an elected official in the City of Blaine. She stated that one thing she felt would be helpful for this type of project is to make sure the GIS maps are updated, because it can be confusing when they aren't updated. She asked Mr. Gray to reach out to her following the meeting and stated that if they need to set up some time for him to meet with the city's engineering team, they can do that and try to sort through the responsibility issue.

With no further testimony from the public, President Bradley asked for a motion to close the public comment portion of the hearing.

Motion by Manager Waller, seconded by Manager Weinandt, to close the public hearing. Motion carried 4-0.

President Bradley invited discussion from the Board regarding guidance to staff to prepare an order for the repair.

District Attorney Kolb explained that he would suggest that the Board continue for a final decision so they could consider more detailed findings. He stated that he also felt it may be beneficial for the Board to talk about how they want to handle Branch 5, Lateral 1, in the order. He noted that the District Engineer had indicated that Branch 5, Lateral 1, was heavily encumbered by regulatory restrictions and was not in a fair state of repair, so the Board needed to make a decision and articulate why it was not going to repair this as part of the project and if they concur with the engineers recommendation, to also make a finding that this portion of the system was so deteriorated and the regulatory restrictions were such that the restoration of its function would be impractical.

President Bradley stated that it would be appropriate for the Board to determine which alternative they supported and remand it back to staff to prepare the final report to implement that choice. He explained that he supported Alternative 3 and also supported not trying to repair Branch 5, Lateral 1, and the possibility of moving forward with the abandonment of this section at a separate proceeding.

Motion by Manager Waller, seconded by Manager Bradley, to direct staff to prepare findings and an order consistent with the proceedings, including responses to all comments received through the public comment process; that the findings and order be written to order the repair of Branches 5 and 6 of Anoka County Ditch 53-62 consistent with the engineer's recommendation (Alternative 3, limited scope repair) and allocate costs of the repair, including imposition of water management district charges, as recommended; and that we recess this hearing to the Board's regular meeting on September 10, 2025 at 9:00 a.m., in the Mounds View City Council Chamber, 2401 County Hwy 10, Mounds View, MN, or by adjournment to an

appropriate time on the Board's agenda, at which meeting we will consider findings and an order for the proposed repair.

District Administrator Tomczik asked Drainage & Facilities Manager Schmidt to share whether the District had received any written comments.

Drainage & Facilities Manager Schmidt explained that he had not received any written comments.

Manager Robertson stated that she understood that there was a long-standing history with the Metro Gun site, and this runs through some of that property. She asked if there had been clear communication with the property owner.

President Bradley explained that the property owner was present at the Board's prior meeting.

District Engineer Otterness explained that where they were proposing to repair was alongside that property and noted that this repair would not materially affect that property.

Manager Waller emphasized that in the description of the probable construction costs, the State-mandated requirements for wetland protections and public waters were not itemized.

President Bradley asked if there were wetland replacement credit requirements under Alternative 3.

District Engineer Otterness stated that they did not anticipate any costs related to the Wetland Conservation Act.

Motion carried 4-0.

Manager Robertson stepped away from the dais to share her contact information with Mr. Gray.

ITEMS REQUIRING BOARD ACTION

1. Accepting the Engineer's Report for Anoka County Ditch 72 – Partial Abandonment

Drainage and Facilities Manager Schmidt stated that the Board had been petitioned for partial abandonment and rerouting of segments of ACD-72 in association with the Watermark development in Lino Lakes. He explained that the Board had accepted the petition and directed the engineer to prepare a report, but between the delivery of the report and accepting the report, the petitioners had submitted a modification to their petition, and how would like to change the request to full abandonment of the branch. He explained that the District Engineer had modified their original report that they would present to the Board today.

District Engineer Otterness presented information related to the petition for abandonment of ACD-72 and reviewed the basis for their review, site location, proposed abandonment details, properties involved, and the purpose of the abandonment request.

President Bradley asked who would own the stormwater systems that are needed to convey the water once it leaves their property.

District Engineer Otterness explained that any of the storm sewer conveyance systems that are within the public roadways would be managed by the City of Lino Lakes and noted

242 that there is some drainage conveyance along a County road that would be managed by
243 Anoka County. He explained that there would be some private drainage pathways that
244 would be located on nearby properties, but some of those details may not have been
245 worked out yet because they were still moving through the permitting process.

246
247 President Bradley asked if it was appropriate for the Board to approve abandonment
248 before they had approved the permits.

249
250 District Attorney Kolb stated that the Board was not being asked to approve the
251 abandonment today and was just receiving the engineer's report. He stated that there
252 would still need to be a public hearing and noted that they could make any abandonment
253 contingent on the developer's submission of satisfactory stormwater management plans
254 that address these issues raised by the Board.

255
256 Manager Weinandt asked if all of the water would still be going into ACD-72, no matter
257 which way it was redirected.

258
259 District Engineer Otterness answered that, for the most part, most of the water in the
260 area goes into ACD-72, but there are portions of the property that do not.

261
262 Manager Weinandt asked if the Board had previously abandoned some laterals for the
263 Watermark project.

264
265 District Engineer Otterness stated that was correct and they had abandoned portions of
266 ACD-55 and ACD-72 as part of that development.

267
268 Manager Waller asked if it was true that the drainage across the freeway for the City of
269 Anoka and the City of Hugo would still be in effect, and this action would not impact that.

270
271 District Engineer Otterness stated that was correct and this was only for the
272 abandonment of a portion of the drainage system. He continued with his presentation
273 and reviewed the effects of abandonment, permitting, and outlined their
274 recommendations to set a date for a public hearing, and for preparation of a findings and
275 order with the conditions that the petitioners shall notify the District staff prior to
276 demolition of the tile, and that plans shall include capping of Branch 1, Lateral 11 at
277 County Road 54 right-of-way.

278
279 ***Motion by Manager Robertson, seconded by Manager Waller, Rice Creek Watershed***
280 ***District Board of Managers, Drainage Authority For Anoka County Ditch 72, Adopt***
281 ***Resolution 2025-06 Findings And Order Accepting Engineer's Review Report And***
282 ***Directing Further Proceedings.***

283
284 Therefore, the RCWD Board of Managers makes the following:

285
286 **ORDER**
287

- 288 A. The Board of Managers accepts the engineer's report.
289
290 B. The Board of Managers sets a public hearing on the petitioned action during its
291 regular meeting on September 10, 2025, at 9:00 am or thereafter on the agenda
292 as determined by the Board at the Mounds View City Hall Council Chambers, 401
293 County Hwy 10, Mounds View, MN 55112.
294
295 C. The Board of Managers directs its staff to prepare and provide notice of the
296 hearing as required by statutes section 103E.806 and as otherwise required by
297 RCWD policy.
298

299 **ROLL CALL:**

300 ***Manager Bradley – Aye***

301 ***Manager Robertson – Aye***

302 ***Manager Waller – Aye***

303 ***Manager Weinandt – Aye***

304 ***Manager Wagamon – Absent***

305 ***Motion carried 4-0***
306

307 **2. BWSR Water Quality and Storage Grant Acceptance: Hardwood Creek / Judicial Ditch**
308 **No. 2 Storage Facility Study**

309 Project Manager Petry gave an overview of the BWSR Water Quality and Storage Grant
310 the District had been awarded to further develop conceptual designs for the Hardwood
311 Creek/JD-2 watershed. He noted that the staff memo was dated in early July because it
312 ended up being postponed for a few meetings while they were working to get the grant
313 agreement in hand. He suggested that the Board remove the language included in the
314 proposed motion related to submitting a work plan, because that was due on July 25,
315 2025, and had already been submitted and approved.
316

317 ***Motion by Manager Bradley, seconded by Manager Weinandt, to accept the BWSR FY26***
318 ***Water Quality and Storage grant and for the Administrator to execute the grant***
319 ***agreement.***
320

321 Manager Waller stated that he assumed the District would build this in some muck soils
322 on the bend in JD-2 and asked if this was public or private land.
323

324 Project Manager Petry explained that in the Climate Resiliency Study, the area was near
325 the Hardwood Creek WMA.
326

327 Manager Waller asked if the plans were to do this on the WMA or private property.
328

District Engineer Otterness stated that they will be looking at multiple sites in the general area that include DNR property and private land ownership areas. He explained that they had not looked at the types of soils in these areas, but would assume there would be some areas that have muck soils.

Manager Waller noted that this was in the City of Forest Lake and asked if the District had spoken to them about it.

Project Manager Petry stated that he has not spoken with Forest Lake, but had talked to representatives from the DNR in the area, and they were generally supportive of the idea.

Manager Waller asked if this ended up being built in muck soils, if there would be dredging or a depression in the soils where they would hold water in a container.

Project Manager Petry stated that earth and dam is what was being proposed.

District Engineer Otterness explained that they had not gotten into that level of detail yet and would be part of what was included in the study, and noted that for now, they just have a vague concept of what storage could look like here.

Manager Waller stated that there had been a great deal of water storage done over the last 30 years in this area of JD-2, and at the same time had the St. Paul Water Authority had concluded that Centerville Lake had too much phosphorus in it. He stated that when too much water is put into the muck soils, it can cause a phosphorus pump, which is the favorite food source of blue-green algae. He asked if there may be another place that the District could use this \$50,000 grant, which may be more appropriate and also provide more water quality than this project.

Project Manager Petry reviewed the other previous possible projects, including Jones Lake and Hansen Park.

Manager Weinandt noted this was part of the planning process that began in 2023 and noted that this \$50,000 grant required a \$5,000 match from the District. She noted that he had appreciated that Project Manager Petry had pointed out that this money came out of the General Fund. She stated that she believed this was an excellent follow-on process because they had engaged the public, conducted planning, and applied for a grant, so they were able to look more closely at their options now.

Manager Waller noted that engaging the public did not include Forest Lake or Hugo.

Manager Weinandt stated that they were present during the planning process.

Manager Robertson stated that what they were discussing was a grant regarding a feasibility study and weren't committing to a long-term project. She stated that she

believed that communication was important, and there were indications in the discussion that, for example, the City of Forest Lake was engaged, and another who stated that they were not engaged. She noted that she understood that this was a grant, that the matching funds had already been budgeted, and that this was just one step in a larger, multi-step process.

Motion carried 3-1 (Waller opposed).

3. HEI Task Order 2025-014 Hardwood Creek / Judicial Ditch No. 2 Subwatershed Storage Feasibility Study

Project Manager Petry explained that this item was the task order for the item they had just approved.

Motion by Manager Bradley, seconded by Manager Weinandt, to authorize the Administrator to execute Task Order 2025-014 Hardwood Creek / JD 2 Subwatershed Storage Feasibility Study for Houston Engineering, Inc., not to exceed \$54,000.00.

Manager Robertson reminded Project Manager Petry to engage the City of Forest Lake to ensure that they know what was occurring in their area.

Manager Waller stated that he did not think the critical thinking aspect of this whole idea had been thought through and, in his opinion, would just create more phosphorus that would impact Peltier Lake as it flows downstream.

President Bradley noted that the purpose of this action was to do that kind of critical thinking.

Motion carried 3-1 (Waller opposed).

4. 2025 MN Watersheds Resolutions - RCWD Voting and Delegation Decision

Manager Weinandt noted that the Board had discussed this at their recent Workshop meeting.

Motion by Manager Weinandt, seconded by Manager Bradley, to designate Manager Weinandt and Manager Bradley as the delegates for the 2025 Annual Meeting on Resolutions and Petitions, with no alternate. Motion carried 4-0.

Motion by Manager Bradley, seconded by Manager Weinandt, to instruct the delegates to vote in accordance with the stated RCWD positions related to the resolutions on the agenda at the 2025 Annual Meeting on Resolutions and Petitions. Motion carried 4-0.

5. Check Register Dated August 13, 2025, in the Amount of \$226,516.33, Prepared by Redpath and Company

Motion by Manager Weinandt, seconded by Manager Bradley, to approve the check register dated August 13, 2025, in the Amount of \$226,516.33, prepared by Redpath and Company. Motion carried 4-0.

ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION AND INFORMATION

1. District Engineer Updates and Timeline

2. Administrator Updates

District Administrator Tomczik noted that the DNR had identified in their August 11, 2025, mapping high flows in the District area. He explained that Perry Wagamon had submitted a public data act request of the District, and that was being processed. He stated that the District had also engaged Rinke Noonan on the new law related to Minnesota Paid Family and Medical Leave. He noted that the District was involved in the Lino Lakes and AUAR area, which was referenced earlier by comments shared by Mr. Stowe, and staff had provided comments to Lino Lakes. He stated that regarding the comments made about the muck soils providing a phosphorus pump, he could ask Lake and Streams Manager Kocian or another expert to address the concerns shared by Manager Waller and report back to the Board. He noted that the Board current Bylaw says that the board would not take action at a Workshop, which they had done, so intended for the board to clarify, and noted that guidance from their legal counsel was that it was appropriate for the Board to take action at one of their meetings.

Manager Waller noted that this had been one of the Bylaws for many years and suggested that the District either change the Bylaws or obey the rules.

3. Managers' Updates

Manager Waller stated that he attended the recent CAC meeting and the river tour from Taylor's Falls down to near Stillwater.

Manager Weinandt stated that the tour was hosted by joint watersheds along the St. Croix River and reviewed some of the activities they did on the tour with small group break-out sessions. She noted that there was a Ramsey Council Association of Local Governments, and she and Project Manager Petry have been part of the Climate Action subcommittee that meets once a month, and explained that their theme for the year was water resource/water conservation.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion by Manager Bradley, seconded by Manager Waller, to adjourn the meeting at 10:55 a.m. Motion carried 4-0.